

# Improved Hot Water Code Calculation Interim Progress Report

FBC Energy Technical Advisory
Committee Teleconference Meeting
March 3, 2017



### **Research Purpose and Goal**

- Characterize hot water waste sources
- Characterize implications of climatic differences on hot water use
- Characterize energy impacts of hot water recirculation systems and controls with respect to energy consumption in Florida homes
- Recommend Florida-specific methods to employ in the Florida Energy Code for residential hot water use and energy consumption calculations





## **Hot Water Systems Research**

- Principal factors not adequately considered by standard building energy code hot water calculations:
  - Variation in service water temperatures by climate location
  - Hot water system design significantly impacts both the quantity of hot water used and energy consumption
  - Hot water circulation pumps can reduce hot water use but also dramatically increase energy use



# **Expected Outcome and Impact**on the Code

Project report will include recommendations suitable for consideration by the FBC in determining the most appropriate Floridaspecific methods, procedure and calculation for determining the energy use effectiveness of domestic hot water systems for the residential FEC.





#### Research Approach

#### Four project tasks:

- Task 1: A "hot water" and "energy" search terms
   literature review
- Task 2: Development of hot water energy use calculation procedures by month, climate, circulation design and hot water system type





#### Research Approach

#### Four project tasks (cont.):

- Task 3: Compare hot water energy use for 2, 3, and 4-bedroom homes in Miami, Orlando and Jacksonville calculated with new procedures vs. use determined by current code calculation
- Task 4: Using EnergyGauge simulations, determine and document the difference in overall energy code performance scores for two sample homes (hot water e-Ratios and total e-Ratios)



- Task 1 literature review listing provided with interim report
- Task 2 calculation procedures developed (draft interactive Excel sheet and draft code modification language provided with interim report)





#### Literature Review:

- Searched on "hot water distribution" and "energy" terms in NREL, LBNL, ASHRAE and DOE BA databases + general search + other
- 40+ pertinent documents identified
- Review to date shows:
  - Anticipated levels of waste
  - Anticipated use factors—including plumbing design, insulation, climate (time of year and location) and occupant demographics





#### Calculation Procedure Development:

- Starting point was ANSI/RESNET 301-2014
   Addendum A-2015
- Florida-specific adaptations include:
  - Calculation by month
  - Florida climate adjustment  $(T_{mains}$  and  $F_{mix})$
  - FL climate examples: Tallahassee, Jacksonville,
     Daytona Beach, Orlando, Tampa and Miami
- Solar and HRUs addressed "upstream"



#### Interactive Hot Water System Calculator (Draft)

User input fields are yellow Δ gpd		Variable Name Proposed (PD) Reference (RD)		Home characteristics:		Drain Water Heat Recovery:			
TMY City	Orlando	-6.88	HWgpd	44.2	51.1	CFA	2400	Showers connected	all
Distribution system type	re, none	0.00	Fgpd		31.3	Nbr	4	Equal flow?	yes
HW pipe Insulation	R-3	0.00	CWgpd	4.5	4.5	Nfl	2	CSA 55.1 DWHR <sub>eff</sub>	54.09
ixture flow efficiency	std	0.00	DWgpd	5.1	5.1	Bsmt	0	WHinTadj =	0.00
td sys pipe length	89	-6.88	sWgpd	0.8	7.6	Ndu	1	WHinT=	77.5
ecirc sys loop length	159	0.00	oWgdp	2.5	2.5	Water heater:			
ecirc sys branch length	10		WD <sub>eff</sub>	0.1	1.0	Fuel type	elec	EFuse =	0.950
ecirc pumpWatts	50		E <sub>waste</sub>	250.0	32.0	rated EF gas	0.83	Tmains =	77.54
W heat recovery?	no		ED <sub>eff</sub>	2.4	1.0	rated EF elec	1.63		
		Δ MBtu	kWh/y	4688.8	2294.4	use rated EFs?	no		
		9.664	pumpkWh/y	438.0	0.0				
Ref std sys pipe length = 89.28			Δ Water	-13.5%	w.r.t. RD	* Calculated using Florida Code nMEUL method			
		Δ HW Energy *	123.5%	w.r.t. RD	** Estimate only. Actual Δ e-Ratio will depend on a				
HW Climate factor = .	10.2%		Δ e-Ratio **	0.13	w.r.t. RD	large sumbar	of bomo	configuration variable	_
-				0.13	W.I.t. KD	J		, , ,	5.
60 55 50 40 40 35	→ RD Av	er Use /g (51.1 gp /g (44.2 gp		kwh	500	Energy Co		ption (Wh)	5.
55 50 45 46 40	→ RD Av	/g (51.1 gp		kwh	500	Energy Co	onsum ot (2294 l	ption (Wh)	





#### Proposed Code Change Language (Draft)

#### PROPOSED DOMESTIC HOT WATER SYSTEM CHANGES

TABLE R405.5.2(1)— SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE STANDARD REFERENCE AND PROPOSED DESIGNS. Modify as follows:

#### TABLE R405.5.2(1) SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE STANDARD REFERENCE AND PROPOSED DESIGNS

BUILDING COMPONENT	STANDARD REFERENCE DESIGN	PROPOSED DESIGN
Heating <sup>d, e, f, g</sup>	As proposed Fuel Type: Same as proposed Use (gal/day): same as proposed design determined in accordance with Appendix #	Fuel Type: As proposed  Use (Ggal/day):= $30 + (10 \times N_{bs})$ determined in accordance with  Appendix #
	Efficiency: in accordance with prevailing Federal minimum standards	Efficiency: As proposed
	Energy Consumption: determined in accordance with Appendix #.	Energy Consumption: determined in accordance with Appendix #.

[All other parts of the table to remain unchanged.]

Add new Appendix # to read as follows:



CALCULATION OF HOT WATER ENERGY CONSUMPTION





#### **Next Steps**

- Task 3: Compare hot water energy use for 2, 3, and 4-bedroom homes in Miami, Orlando and Jacksonville calculated with new procedures vs. use determined by current code calculation
- Task 4: Using EnergyGauge simulations, determine and document the difference in overall energy code performance scores for two sample homes





### **Project Completion**

- Literature review summary
- Document Task 3 and 4 results
- Final report delivered by June 1<sup>st</sup>



